



20

No. 254

(*Мигуни*)

Serial Nos. / //

Doc 198-29-1-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 77

Part B for *Jan 1976*.

SUBJECT

Request that the supplemental estimate for Rs 753-2-0 in connection with the Silver Plating of the two door-frames of the Emperor's Annamalai Samadhi at Sri Srikalahasthreswari Temple, Mangayud might be sanctioned.

स(४) ६ ० रर. १६५० १

Labels 25 6.2 L.

To the Dorsey corner
a corner of the

Succession of



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

()

NOTES.

File No. 252

FILE HEADING.

Silver plating of the door frames of the
Sivani & Ammanavaram of Sri Kanteswara Swami
at Nanjingu

From

The Muz. Comr.

No. C1020 of 1925-6 Dated 17. 3. 26.

Received 17- 3- 26.

Diary No. 1074,

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that the Supplemental
estimate for Rs 753-2-0. in connection with
the silver plating of the two door frames of the Sivani &
Ammanavaram Samadhi of Sri Sri Kanteswara Swami temple at Nanjingu
might be sanctioned.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

mur File No 254

of 1925

Serial
No

2

To the mur Council
no 1270 of 6.4.26.

calls for the suppl^{est}.
mate together with the Huzar beg
letter up to in his letter and the
promised further report in the
matter.

8113

For the Mur Council

no C 1020 of 1925-26 of 10.4.1926

Forwards duly countersigned, the Supplemental
Estimate for Rs 753-2-0 with the connected
papers.

(1) The circumstances under which
the necessity for the Supplemental
bill has arisen have been explained.
The Mysore D. Comr's letter of 30th March is
referred to in the paper under disposal
has not been forwarded - Mysore D. Comr's
subd. with a draft for approval

17/4

(2) Issue

17.4.26

Mysore

4.

To.

Mur Council no 1347 of 23.4.26

calls for Mysore D. Comr's
letter of 30.3.26 refd to in No 3 above

See

351

5

For the Mur Council no C 1020 of 1925-26 of 11.7.1926,
1926, Forwards Copy of letter no 683/1002 of 30.6.1926
from the D. Comr: Mysore D. Comr: Silver plating of the door
frames of the Nanjangud temple.

Muz File No 254

Serial
No

Z

Y

X

3 From a perusal of the papers in the file it is found that in sanctioning the first estimate for silver plating the door frame of Sri Sri Kankarwaswarani temple at Vanjunga, it included a provision for 4700 tolas of silver being utilized only. But in view of the variation in the designs referred to in para 2 of Dr Com's letter no. C. 683/ Muz 17-18 of 2.3.1926. provision for an excess quantity of 1205 tolas has been included in the supplemental bill for Rs 753-2-0.

4 Correctly speaking, sanction to the extra quantity of silver viz 1205 tolas & wages commensurate therewith at 10 annas per tola, ~~separate~~ should have been sought for.

5 Since an excess quantity of 1474-14-0 tolas is represented to have been sent to the Palace Treasury - vide Dr Com's letter report (C. 683 of 1917-18 of 30.3.1926.) - ~~note~~

6 Neither sanction for the excess quantity nor involved nor action for the return of the balance of silver belonging to the temple has been suggested by the Dr Com.

6 Under these circumstances it is for orders, if the Muz Com may be further asked to clearly state ~~whether~~ ~~whether~~ whether in add. to the suppl. bill

Subs for Rs 753-2-0, all the excess quantity of silver. Amount
11/5/26

Serial
No.

The return is sent to
the Palace Treasury for
work, in hand. After
the work is completed the
excess quantity will be
returned
h.

Rs 1474-14-0 is the which is already
in the Palace Treasury is needed,
is required for the work; if not, how
much is expected to be saved & whether
it should not be sent back to the
Temple. A clear report in the matter,
maybe called for.

7/5/26 Rev. Secy 3/5

7 This is not a supplemental estimate,
but a revised estimate for Rs 3757.8-0 + 753.2-0
= 4510.10-0. In the estimate explained by the Secy
his action in supplying the additional quantity
of the return from the Nanjangud temple for the
rebuilding of the work may be supported and
the extra 1205 tolas have to be admitted.

Whether the temple has sufficient balance to
meet the extra expenditure may perhaps be ascertained
before acceding sanction to the proposal.

memorandum

4.5.16. 4/5

agree

4/5 9 September 1925 4/5

19 Draft subd
22 5/5 5/5/26 5/5

Muz File No. 254

of 1928.

Serial
No.

6. To, The Muz Commr. No 14308/10-8-26
Enquiries what the
balance at the credit of the temple
in question is

7. The Muz Commr.

1915
7. No C 1020 of 1925-26 of the 20th May 28.
States that it has been intimated by
the temple that a sum of Rs 15,808-12-4
exclusive of a. d. k. grant was available at the credit of the institution
at the end of March 1926.

" As there is no ready means of striking
a very correct amount of balance at the
credit of the institution on the present date
may the Commissioner be unofficially
requested to note it here below. This would
represent a correct amount since the recent
orders as regards debits of cost of repairs to
the temple would have been taken
into account in striking the balance -
Subd for order

25/5-26 By 25/5/26 12 P. Jee :- Member's minute
on the previous page.

The Compt^r may be
unofficially consulted as
regards the funds at the credit
of the institution

Rev. Secy

13 The Commissioner
already reported to have
given the information
which is a full
consultation necessary
25/5/26
25/5/26

Subd. in person to
the Secy. at
his residence

NOTE—Contn Sheet

29/5/26

Serial
No

14 In another connection, the Comptroller who was unofficially consulted in the matter of the balance available at the credit of the temple says that the temple had a debit balance of Rs (-) 17883-14-10 at the end of April 26 and that as the Land grant of Rs 20127-11- for 1925-26 has not yet been adjusted by the Daluk authorities, there will be a credit balance of Rs (+) 2243-12-2 on 1.5.26 when this is adjusted. So it must be considered that on 1st May 1926, the temple had a balance of Rs 2243-12-2 at its credit. The amt req^d now can be given out of this balance. May the suppl^t estimate be sanctioned??

Ed
29.5.26 may be sanctioned.

Rev. Secy

19/5

Rev
31.5.26

member)
Order Sanctioning revised estimate M
May be issued. The balance 1/6
mentioned in P.C's letter of 20.5.26
states to be that intimated
by Compt. and it differs very
widely from what is stated in
Compt's U.O. reply. A copy of P.C's
letter may be sent to Compt. who
may be asked to state why there
is the large diff betⁿ the two sets

472
1.6

Serial
No

16

Draft ~~letter~~ order & a draft letter
u. to the Comptroller are sub?
11/6. Rg for approval.

11-6-26

Rev. Secy

11/6

17 appd 15.6.26

18 Jost 15/6

8 To, Mr. Comptroller & Comptroller

No 1658-9 & 25.6.26.

Sanctions the revised
estimate the extra charge of
Rs 753-2-0 being met out of
the temple funds.

9. To, Comptroller 1660 & 25.6.26.

Forwarded copy Mrs
letter (Sl 7) and enquire why
there is a large difference
between the figures furnished
by the M.C. & those furnished
by himself as balance at
the credit of the insty.

for
37

10. To, Mr. Comptroller

No 5. T.A.D. B. of the 2nd July 26.

States that from his letter (D.O.) 1697/T.A.D.B of
the 13th May 1926, addressed to the "my Govt"
it will be seen that the sum of Rs 15508.12-4

Muz, File No 254

of 1925

Serial
No

a minus figure and not a plus one as stated
in the copy of Muz Law's letter of 22.5.26.

19 So now it is clear that the figure is
Rs. 15808 12-4. referred to in the Muzrai Comm. letter
of 20.5.26. is a debit-balance and not a
credit-balance. The sign minus before the figure
is a typographical omission

20) Sub? with reference to the member's name
in para 15 supra

ll-
97.6

Rev. Secy

2
9/7

21) To avoid such mistakes in future
the Comptroller may perhaps be asked to
describe in words the particulars of the
balance in his communications in addition
to indicating them by plus and minus
signs

CRS


11726

2nd member) of 15.5.26

22) The Comptroller's letter of 7.5.26
states that
"The balance at credit of -

Rs. 15808-12-4 " It is a
balance can not be called a credit
balance. It is better to use
the expression 'at credit of' or
'at debit of' instead of
using signs in such words
for the figure. (rule)

12/7



(5-7)
draft 13.7.26
CRS 13/7

Serial
No

23) Draft subd.

14/7 14/1, 126

24) Draft revised.

Please put up a
clean typed copy.

25) subd with a typed copy of the revised draft.

17/7

For approval

Rev. Secy

17/7

26) also

11 To, Comptroller -

no 115 of 20.7.26.

Requests that in future
when indicating the balances
of funds the expressions
"at credit of" and "at debit of"
may be used instead of signs
or words for signs.

no 116 of 20.7.26. Copy of the
above to Mr. Comptroller


C 1020 2256 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Mangalore, 16th March 1926.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



M18/3
Sir,

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Adverting to Government Pro.No.785-6/M.F.276-23-10 dated --
28th November 1924 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.3757-8-0 for ---
silver-plating the two door frames of the Swami and Ammanavaru ----
Sannidhis of the temple of Sri Srikanteswaraswami at Nanjunda, I
have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.No.683/17-18
dated 2nd March 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District
and to request that in the circumstances explained therein the --
supplemental estimate for Rs.753-2-0 may be sanctioned the charge
being met from the funds of the Institution.

2. The Deputy Commissioner has not explained the circumstances
under which 1205 tolas of silver was sent to the Palace Treasury
in excess of the quantity mentioned in the sanctioned estimate.
A further report in the matter will be obtained and submitted ---
separately,

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Ramaswamaiya
Muzrai Commissioner.

Subd. for order with a
draft. 1) For perusal.
2) For approval of
the draft, put up.
KSR.2.

L-L-secy

27/3

Issued h.
21/3. M/4

Letter No.C.683/Muz.17-18 dated 2nd March 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - -

"Adverting to your memo No.C.R.Dis.120/24-25 dated 3rd ---
C.526 of 1922-23
December 1924, forwarding for necessary action copy of Government Order No.785-6/Muz.276-22-10 dated 28th November 1924, sanctioning an estimate for Rs.3,757-8-0 for silver-plating the two door frames of the Swami and Ammanavaru Sannidhis in the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud, I have the honor to forward herewith a supplemental estimate for Rs.753-2-0 received from the Huzur Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, in respect of the said work and to state as follows:--

The public works department prepared door frames according to existing doors at Nanjangud Temple while the estimate of the Palace --- Office was as per another design, and therefore when the door frames were sent to the Palace with the silver, the Palace Treasury Officer ~~found~~ found the discrepancy. Thereupon, I met the Assistant Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, in the Palace Treasury and discussed the matter with him. The revised estimate as agreed to between us was prepared and the same has been accepted by the Dharmadarsis of the temple who have been personally consulted by me.

In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the supplemental estimate for Rs.753-2-0 at a very early date as the Dharmadarsis and the Devotees are very anxious to see this work is expedited.

A copy of Huzur Secretary's letter No.2892 dated 4-3-36 is also enclosed for reference."

" C o p y "

Sharma

16/3/26

for Muzrai Commissioner.
Mu

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to... 1074.

General and Revenue Secretariat

the 192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No. 254-25

Seria No. 2

No. 1220

To

The Muz Court

Sir,

With reference to

Your letter n^o C 1020 of 1925-26.

of 16/7 - 3. 1926. I am directed

to request you to forward

the ^{supplemental} Estimate for Rs 753-2-0.

for which sanction has been

~~asked for~~ as the same has not
been ~~received~~ ^{received} therewith.

To your reference ^{para 2 of the} ~~to the~~

Excess quantity of silver sent

to the Police Treasury is not

understood in the absence of

over

I can also request that -

a copy of Huzar Senclary's letter

no 2892 of 4.2.1926., ~~the same~~ ^{The last of the DCS} ~~replied to in para 2 of my~~ ^{enclosed in my letter}

may be sent up ~~to~~ together

with the for this report promised

in the letter under advertisement

Thence SA

~~2/13~~ ^h 31.3.16
Secretary & for C
Per D & H
26
27.3.26

C1020 B 25-26
end three

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Dated Bangalore, the 10th April 1926.



SECRETARIAT.
14 APR 1926

MUTTI

D1208

B A N G A L O R E.

Muz 254-25-3

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Esq., P.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Greenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

K. R.

14/4
Sir.

With reference to your letter No.1270/M.F.254-25-2 dated 6-4-26, re: silver plating of the door frames of the Sri Srikantha Varaswami temple at Nanjanad, I have the honor to forward herewith duly countersigned the supplemental estimate for Rs.753-2-0 together with the connected papers.

2. As regards para 2 of this office letter of the 17th March 1926, copy of letter C. No. 683 of 17-18 dated 30-3-26 from the Deputy Commissioner explaining the circumstances under which excess quantity of silver was sent to the Palace Treasury, is submitted for your information.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
10/4/26

for Muzrai Commissioner
(absent from station)

B. R.

Letter no: 2892 dated 4th February 1926 from the Nagar
Secretary to his highness the Maharaja of Mysore, to the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore.

"In compliance with your letter No: 683 dated the 5th
September 1925, I have the honor to forward herewith a revised
estimate for the silver plating work of the door frames of
Shri Srikanteshwara Temple at Nanjangud with copy of
report of the palace Treasurer.

It will be seen from the revised estimate that owing to
change both in design and dimensions of the door frames,
the quantity of silver actually required for the work is
5905 tolas as against 4700 tolas provided in the previous
estimate sanctioned by Government in November 1924. The
excess quantity of silver viz., 1205 tolas has been received
from the Temple. It is mainly on account of wages due on
this additional quantity of silver that the present estimate
exceeds the previous one by Rs. 753-2-0 (Rupees seven
hundred and fifty three and annas two) which has to be
sanctioned now."

"copy"

Haragopal
For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

A/1st March 26.

Diary No. of letter replied to...

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 192

Date of issue.....

28/4/26

Signed by.....

Mr. N

List of enclosures

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section *Key*

File No. *456-7625*

Seria No. *14*

No. *1347*

To

The Key Court

Sir,

I am directed to
request you to forward
those of Louis letter no.
683¹⁹¹⁷⁻¹⁸ of 30th march 1926
referred to in para 2 of
your letter 25th 1020 of 23rd 25th 26
of 10.4.1926 as the same
has been ^{not} received ~~as~~ with
~~enclosure~~ your letter under
advantage

I have s/h

over

12/4/26
17 4-26

No. C 1020 25-26 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
encl one

Dated Pangalore, 27th April 1926.

From

U. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., P.M.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore


GEN. & REV. SEC.
30 APR 1926

MUZRAI

DI 269

Muz 254-25-5

B. S. Balasundaram Iyer



B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.M., P.M.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No. 1347/M.S. 254-25-4 dated -
27th April 1926, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of
letter No. 683 of 17-18 dated 30th March 1926 from the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore District, re silver plating of the door
frames of the Nanjungud temple.

have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

U. S. Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

19

Letter No.C.683 of 17-18 dated 30th March 1926 from the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your memo No.C.1020 of 25-26 dated 17th March 1926 requesting to be informed of the circumstances under which 1205 tolas of silver was sent to the Palace Treasury in excess of the quantity mentioned in the sanctioned estimate for silver-plating for two door frames of the Swami and Ammanavaru Sannichins of the Sri Srikanteswara Murthy temple at Nanjangud, I have the honor to state that when the vernacular records were received with the correspondence according with Nanjangud Sub Division Office's Memo No.R.H.C.370/24-25 dated 11-8-25, it was found that the Amildar of Nanjangud Taluk had, against the sanctioned estimate of only 4700/- tolas for silver required for plating two door-frames, sent to the Palace Treasury 70 bars of silver weighing 1219 tolas against three weight and that these bars were weighed by the Palace Treasurer against standard "W 125" and the weight was 1174-14-0 tolas. This was in excess of 1174-14-0 tolas over the weight provided in the sanctioned estimate.

Tern

Then I met the Assistant Private Secretary at the Balance Treasury when the Amildar, Mr. Purnaiya was also present and as it was found that the revised estimate was necessary, I allowed the excess silver to remain in the Balance Treasury pending the preparation of the -- present supplemental estimate under reference and orders thereon."

2/27/46 8 *27/4/46*
for Murrai Commissioner.
/m

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
()
the 192

Date of issue..... 10/5/26

Signed by..... Mr. C. K.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Muz
File No. 2521-28
Seria No. 6
No. 1430-

To The Muzrai Comm
in Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to Correspondence ending with your letter no. C 1020 of 1925-6 of the 27th April 1926 in the matter of sanction of the Supplemental ^{estimate} bill for Rs 753 1/2 connected with the Silver plate of the door frames of the Sri Sri Kankarmanaswami temple at Nanjangut, I am directed to enquire whether the temple has sufficient balance at its credit to meet the extra expenditure

over

involved by the revision ^{as now proposed} of the
previous Estimate sanctioned
in G.O. no- 785-6/m 7 276-22-10
~~of 28th Nov 1924~~

May J

Now wanted.

A very early reply is requested.
Yours etc

~~5/5~~
Secretary to Govt
The Dept
84
575726

No: C 1020025-26 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore,
Dated Bangalore the 20th May 1926.



GEN. & REV. SECRETARY
22 MAY 1926
MUZRAI

S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A., *me* 1395
Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore, *254-25-7 E*

C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1430/H.F. 214-25-6 dated 10th instant Re: silver plating the door frames of the Ranganatha temple, I have the honor to state that it is intimated by the Controller to Government that a sum of Rs. 15,000-12-4, ----- exclusive of the tasdik grant of Rs. 20,100-11-0 for the year 1925-26, was available at the credit of the Institution at the end of March 1926.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

P.R.

M

Muz[7]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to.....

Muzrai Secretariat

()

the

192 . }

Date of issue .. 25/6/26)

Signed by. Mr. K.

May this be

- i Printed ?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette
English Part ?
- iv translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

SECTION

Muz

FILE No. 254-25

SERIAL No. 8

Proceedings of the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

G.O. no 785-6/muz 7.
276-22-10 of the 28th Nov 1924
Sanctioning an estimate
for Rs 3757-0-0. for silver -
plating the door frames
of the Swami & Ashtmanavara
Sannidhis of the temple of
Sri Sri Kanteswara Swami temple
at Tanjampur.

~~Order Thereon No.~~

Correspondence ending
with letter no. C.O. 20 of 1925-26
of the 20th May 1920, from the
Muz Comm. in Mysore
Sanctioning a Supplemental
estimate for Rs 753-2-0. in
in account of a change in
the design of frames,

in connection with the ~~the~~ ~~work~~ ~~the~~
 plating of the door frames
 of the Sri Sankarabharaswami
 temple referred to in the first order
 read above, ^{and which has been found necessary in}
 consequence of
 a change ^{in the} design of the door frames..

order. No 1658-2 D: one 1725/6/26

The revised estimate necessitating
~~making is the need for a~~
 a Supplemental grant of
 Rs 753-2-0. is sanctioned
 the charge being met
 from the funds ~~of the~~ institution
 credit of the Sri Sankarabharaswami
 temple at Nanganur.

^{Rs 14626}
 11/6 Secretary to Govt
 11/6/26 Per Secy.
 To the Muz Comm. with the ^{Last of enclosures.} ~~Sanction~~ ~~of~~
 The Comptroller, with the request that

Memo - In forwarding copy of Muz Comm. letter of the 20.5.1926. to
 the Comptroller, his attention is ^{Copied by}
 invited to his office ^{May 1926}
 showing a debit balance ^{Examined by}
 of Rs 17,883-14-10. and he is requested to state, at an early date, ^(separate letter to Govt)
 why there is such a large difference
 between the two sets of figures ^{ex.}
 12/0
 sanction to Govt.

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 192

Date of issue

25/6/26

Signed by

Mr. N

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No. 254-25

Serial No. 9

No.

1662

To

Mr. one

~~Mr. one~~

Mr. L. Campbell

Sir, with reference to your
unofficial reply to the
office memo no 1471 of 18.5.26
regarding the balance at the
credit of the In the Revenue
sums temple, I am directed
to forward herewith a copy of
the Muz Comr letter no. C1020
showing the balance
of 95-26 & 20 5-26 ~~and~~ ^{as indicated} by you, &
requesting you to kindly
state whether there is a large
difference between the two
figures given [as regards the
balance].

to

11/6 1926
over 2
11/6 1926

00613

27

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

In reply please
refer to
No. 5 TADB



Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

Dated the 2nd July 1926.

U. Ranganatha Sastry, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.



6/7/26
Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1600 Muz. 254-25-9 dated the 25th June 1926, in regarding the cause of difference between the figures furnished by this office and those by the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore I have the honor to forward herewith copy of this office D.O. No. 1697/TADB dated 13th May 1926 to the Muzrai Commissioner, wherefrom it will be seen that the sum of Rs 15,808-12-4, is a minus figure and not a plus one as stated in the copy of Muzrai Commissioner's letter,

letter, appended to the letter under reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

m Shamma.
2. 7. 26

for Deputy Comptroller.

213


MSR

Copy of D.O.No.1697 TADB dated 13th May 1926 from the Comptroller in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

=====

In reply to your D.O.of the 10th instant, I write to say that the balance at the credit of Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjungud, was according to the books of this office -15,808-12-4 at the end of March 1926. This sum is exclusive of the tasdik grant of Rs20,127-11-0 of the institution for the year 1925-26, which has not been adjusted by the taluq. The figures may be verified with those maintained in your office.

=====



Superintendent, Records Section,
Comptroller's Office.

213

MSR

No 116 ~~8~~
 Muz 254-28-~~8~~ 20 July 1926.
 To

The Comptroller to the
 Government of Mysore.

Sir,

PVD

7

With reference to your letter No. 5/TAD. B. dated 2nd July 1926 regarding the difference in the balance of the amount of Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud, I am directed to point out, that your communication D.O No. 1697/TAD.B. dated 13-5-1926 to the Muzrai Commissioner stating that "the balance at the credit of Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud was according to the books of this office - 15808-12-4 at the end of March 1926", was misleading, as a minus balance cannot be called a credit balance. I am to request that, in future, when indicating the balance of the Muzrai Institutions, the expressions "at credit of" or "at debit of" may be used, instead of signs or words for signs.

I have &c.,

Rev.

Rev. Secy. to Govt.

17/2

117 8 20-7-26

Copy to the Muz. Commr. for information with reference to his letter No.C.1026 dated 20-5-26.

8

By order,

Rev 18726

Secy. to Government,
 Rev. Department.

17/2

7